



APPENDIX 3.5 RESULTS OF ENGAGEMENT

Contents

SECTION 1	ENGAGING IN THE COMMUNITY.....	2
2.3	RESIDENT SURVEY.....	5
2.4	RESULTS OF RESIDENTS SURVEY.....	6
2.	RESIDENT SURVEY COMMENTS.....	16
2.7	BUSINESS SURVEY	40

SECTION 1 ENGAGING IN THE COMMUNITY


There are many parties interested in the activity of developing a Neighbourhood Plan. At a very local level, all the residents in the Parish need to understand the process of planning and ongoing updates. An initial newsletter was sent out in November 2018 informing all residents that the plan was going to be developed and inviting people to join the Steering Group.

At a meeting in December 2018, the Steering Group was formed of interested residents and this meeting was chaired by the Chair of the Parish Council who outlined the process and explained about Neighbourhood Plans. There was a following meeting in January 2019 when the Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary of the Steering Group were elected. This Steering Group is a sub-committee of the Parish Council with responsibility for producing a Neighbourhood Plan. The Steering Group comprises members of the Parish Council, residents in Kelbrook and Sough, a representative of the Planning Department at Pendle Council and a Pendle Councillor.

The Steering Group has met monthly on the third Wednesday of the month to monitor progress and agree plans for the next stages. A project plan and communications plan were developed and the vision and objectives for the Neighbourhood Plan were agreed in early 2019.

As part of the communications to the Parish, a newsletter was distributed to explain the work being done and to notify residents that there would be information about the Neighbourhood Plan at the Duck Race event in April 2019 and the Scarecrow Trail event in May 2019. There were many residents at both these events and they showed great interest in the information presented.





During May and June 2019, the residents survey was created and 600 copies of this were printed and distributed to every household in Kelbrook and Sough Parish (480 households). An online version of the survey was created using the software provided by SoGoSurvey so that residents could complete the survey either on paper or online. Postboxes were put out in 5 different places in Kelbrook and Sough for residents to post their surveys and the boxes were emptied on a regular basis until the deadline for the Survey at the end of July. Once the survey date had been reached, the postboxes were removed.

Halfway through the survey period, a newsletter was distributed to every household and business with a reminder to complete the survey. This was followed by a poster campaign in the two villages and a countdown on Facebook to keep reminding residents.

Analysis of the data was undertaken by transcribing the survey results into a spreadsheet. This was done by members of the Steering Group based on the report produced by SoGoSurvey for the online entries. This allowed the 100 questions to be analysed in different ways. The survey had also allowed text comments to be given and these were transcribed into a document that is also available.

In August 2019, we ran a photography competition to raise interest in the Parish and also identify possible photographs for the Neighbourhood Plan. The photographs were displayed at the Kelbrook Art Exhibition and all visitors were asked to select their favourite three photographs. The most popular photograph received a small prize.



Businesses within the Parish are vital to the community and were engaged throughout the process to keep them informed as well as gaining their understanding of their requirements for the future. They received the newsletters on a regular basis and a separate survey was created for the businesses. To complete the surveys, members of the Steering Group visited each business with an office in the Parish. The survey of farms was done separately with a member of the Steering Group visiting each farm in the Parish and speaking to all the landowners and farmers using the land in the Parish.

A further newsletter was distributed in October 2019 providing information about the surveys and the next steps.

Throughout the year, members of the Steering Group had investigated aspects of the Plan based on the Themes identified in the Project Plan. This information, along with information gained from the surveys, was used to develop the policies specific to Kelbrook and Sough in the Plan.

Further newsletters have been distributed during 2020 and 2021 to keep the residents updated on progress.

SECTION 2 SURVEYS AND RESULTS

2.1 RESIDENT SURVEY

The Resident Survey contained 100 questions on:

- Things they Value in the Parish
- Environment and Green Spaces
- Weaknesses and Negative Features
- Services and Amenities
- Communications and Business Opportunities Housing
- Transport and Traffic
- Tourism and Leisure
- Housing



2.4 RESULTS OF RESIDENTS SURVEY

Thank you to all residents who completed the residents survey. We had an amazing response of 234 responses after sending out 480 surveys which was 49% return. The survey was provided to give everyone the opportunity to have their say about our community. Neighbourhood planning was introduced by the Localism Act in 2011. The idea behind localism is that decision-making be passed to a more local level, from national or local government to local communities. Parish and town councils or neighbourhood forums (in unparished areas) can produce neighbourhood plans for their local areas, putting in place a strategy and policies for the future development of the area. (Taken from neighbourhoodplanning.org – Neighbourhood Plans Roadmap)

The overarching question that we will keep in mind while we are preparing the Neighbourhood Plan is
“what kind of Parish do I want Kelbrook to be, now and in the future?”


The responses that you have provided will be used to develop a Neighbourhood Plan that will look to answer the key elements of the kind of Parish we want however, it must be realistic. There will inevitably be financial constraints and planning regulations to take into account. We see this as an opportunity to influence, to a degree, the way the Parish might develop and to tackle some of the more negative pressures that threaten small villages around the country.

This document provides graphs showing the output from the responses we received. These graphs are the raw data and we have not made any analysis of this data yet. There were many comments provided within the surveys and these are provided in the accompanying document.

If you have further comments or questions regarding the data, please contact the Chair of the Kelbrook and Sough Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, Debbie Richardson at 01282 843004 or Kelbrook.consulting@gmail.com





Valued assets in the Parish






Environment and Green Spaces












Communications and Business Opportunities








Transport and Traffic Management






Tourism and Leisure





S

Housing



2.2 RESIDENT SURVEY COMMENTS

SECTION A: WHAT DO YOU VALUE MOST IN THE PARISH

<p>Also the other meadows around the village especially those that have a footpath/bridleway through them. The Craven Heifer never seems a very friendly or welcoming pub - nor the Old Stone Trough. They're not community pubs. Also I think Kelbrook should be a conservation area and have conservation/tree protection orders on all its trees.</p>
<p>Although I do not have children attending the primary school I believe a school within a village setting attracts younger families and therefore provides a good population mix within the area.</p>
<p>Bus route! Proximity to surrounding towns - Barnoldswick, Colne. Even though Kelbrook is a rural village, it's not isolated.</p>
<p>Cars parked on road by Euravia are a hazard. Business on the old tractor site should be checked for noise, bang, bang! Who is responsible?</p>
<p>Church Meadows - This needs further disucssion within the community!!</p>
<p>Craven Heifer caters greatly for people outside the village - it is not a village pub. Very few activities run by the village hall attract those who work full time - even dogs aren't allowed in, strange for a rural village centre</p>
<p>Didn't realise that Church Meadows was common land. Now that we know this we are not sure why conservative propaganda is put up in the field! This is not very democratic</p>
<p>Do not want the railway - money better spent on getting traffic on North Valley Road, Colne looked at</p>
<p>Even though I like the old railway line for dog walking etc, I think opening it up would be a good thing</p>
<p>Facilities needed for older children</p>
<p>Green space and fields around the village VITAL</p>
<p>I like its position for access to the region in general, motorway, Forest of Bowland, Yorks Dales, Lake District, Southern Pennines....</p>
<p>I strongly agree that Urban Sprawl should be contested</p>
<p>I think the Parish Council should keep out of the railway line issue. The land between the villages should not be built on otherwise Kelbrook, Sough and Earby become a small town</p>
<p>I think the view of Church Meadows is unfortunately spoilt by the long line of vehicles adjacent to it on the A56. The grass verges are also churned up with tyre marks</p>
<p>I value most the safety of my three young children growing up in a village. My fears for further development mean more traffic and new people would put this in jeopardy!</p>

I would be happy to see the train line reinstated to re-establish links to Skipton and Leeds. This would make Kelbrook house prices increase if it was to become a rural commuter village
I would like to see some safe cycle lanes, using disused railway line,
I would like to see strong resistance to any wind turbine construction in the area. The machines are an unnatural eyesore and why they paint them white beggars belief.
I would like to see the Colne to Skipton Rail line opening up.
I would like to see the railway reinstated
I would value a village shop other than at the garage
If the railway is to benefit the economy and reduce carbon emissions through transportation of greener fuels to Drax I think it should be re-opened
It is good to see working farms, but it would be preferable to have the old fashioned method of muck spreading not the slurry that is poured on the fields and into the water courses. I can remember seeing trout, bullheads and minnows in the becks around Kelbrook but it is obvious to see the amount of damage done to our water courses - the waters are cloudy due to nitrogen fertilizer which depletes the oxygen in the water hence no fish. There is not much quietness & tranquility 6 days a week with the din from the shooting box. There is no consideration from people going there
Keeping the village a small quiet and rural environment is my top concern. Kelbrook is beautiful because of the natural scenery which should be maintained to traditional standards.
Keeping working farms within the Parish, keeps the rural environment (the fields) and contributes to village life.
Kelbrook is a small personable community which should not be lost in any future developments. Rural setting should be maintained
My home in Sough backs onto the old railway and so keeping that as a green space is particularly important to me
No sense of community any more in the village and I've lived here over 60 years. Although I do think Church Meadows should be kept green, it is an obvious housing development spot as access wouldn't be through the village or extend Quernmore Estate with access road to A56 out towards the Stone Trough would help traffic flow in the village.
Not sure what Church Meadows is used for.
Open spaces in village are shrinking as in many other villages due to more houses being built, too many cars and not a lot we can do about it in most cases. Clitheroe is a fine example of many fields sold off for building houses and that land is lost forever
Question 17 assumes the rail way will not re open unless there is a walking/cycling facility included in future plans.
Railway should be re-opened

<p>Re disused railway. I value it as a recreational/natural space however I would be in favour of a rail link being reinstated</p>
<p>re. Quietness - I would agree, however I live on Colne Road so am not affected by the quietness and tranquillity!</p>
<p>ref 17: We regularly walk along the disused railway line, it provides a fantastic environment for wild flowers and birds, insects and other wildlife. A cycleway along that route would vastly enhance the parish for residents and visitors alike.</p>
<p>Rural atmosphere non existent! Too many new faces coming into the village with total disregard of surroundings and other residents.</p>
<p>Some of our pathways are not cleared on a regular basis and make it difficult to pass through</p>
<p>The bus stop needs cleaning and painting at Sough</p>
<p>The disused railway is a must to be used as walking/cycling etc All over Britain old railways are used for this</p>
<p>The village is a village not a town or a sprawl of houses but a village. As such, needs to be kept a village</p>
<p>There is no longer a sense of community since the post office closed. This village is essentially a dormitory village.</p>
<p>This will only work for 20% of the village but recommend 5 hours per year given to the village from individuals. This would be good for the village & community</p>
<p>Villages are part of unique character in this country and as such need to be treasured, preserved and valued. Constant plans to build on any green area very soon turn villages into towns. Keep villages as villages!</p>
<p>We moved here as a family to be part of a village community and want the village to remain a village, not a town or an industrial estate.</p>
<p>We oppose any development of the railway as it would have no benefit to the community but it would have a negative effect on the environment</p>
<p>We value the quietness & tranquility that our lovely Parish gives us. We do not want this spoiling by outside 'influences'</p>
<p>With regard to disused railway line, its present use is fine but I would not object to the line re-opening</p>
<p>Would like a village store</p>

SECTION B: VIEWS ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND OUR GREEN SPACES

<p>* Many footpaths I have used have been quite overgrown with the actual paths being barely visible! * As a teenager a few walls have tested my agility.</p>
<p>* this is a question that cannot be answered without more facts.</p>
<p>Affordable housing is needed for local villagers</p>
<p>Build on all brown sites before green fields</p>
<p>Concerns with regard to potential development on fields between Earby & Sough</p>
<p>Depends on the type of housing and also all other sites have been considered. Any housing will affect the environment ie roads, lighting, infrastructure</p>
<p>Developments should not be dismissed without considering how they could be beneficial whilst protecting the environment. All houses/developments were new once</p>
<p>Disused Railway cut back and maintained</p>
<p>Fly tipping and cutting down of mature trees for firewood (this is done in spring when birds are nesting) has increased this year</p>
<p>Housing choices need further discussion within the community</p>
<p>Housing needs to be planned in appropriate sites</p>
<p>I am unsure if there is enough accessible green space and country walks. An APP/Website would be helpful with walks and spaces mapped out. I don't feel the signposts for footpaths etc are always well maintained. I'm cautious about more house development, if this is needed, it needs to be low impact.</p>
<p>I believe, if we are forced to build houses in the parish because of quotas, it should be in a space which would result in the least impact on residents and the environment particularly congestion on narrow village lanes where parking is already a problem</p>
<p>I do think we have enough housing re- estates of houses and caravans that have taken enough of our green spaces.</p>
<p>I personally do not find the paths inaccessible but there may be people less able than myself</p>
<p>I think if new houses are built they should have new drainage also. The old drains can't cope with the houses without them being overloaded with have to service new ones, remembering the flooding we had in 2014</p>
<p>If there is pressure to build more houses then Sough Mill should be demolished - providing the perfect site.</p>
<p>It's always going to be difficult to get a balance between housing needs and the countryside, but feel that brownfield sites in the towns should be fully utilised over greenfields.</p>
<p>Keep any more industrial development out of Kelbrook/Sough</p>
<p>Kelbrook is a <u>village</u>: it does not need to be any bigger/have more housing. Open spaces need to be protected, therefore protecting village life + community.</p>

Kelbrook is already overpopulated and congested - in particular its roads
Maybe it's a strange year for nettles and thistles but I've never seen such dense obstruction of some paths...again its one of the things that comes with being luck enough to be here. I do wonder would there be greater use if they were cleared?
More housing should not be allowed, we haven't the services here to facilitate more families/children in the school
More needs to be done about protecting wildlife and pollinating species.
Most trees need to be protected but when encroaching onto your property and creating other issues action needs to be taken.
Not sure we have 'low' light pollution.
Once open spaces are built on they can never be reclaimed. Population increase from whatever cause needs to be checked to reduce need for more and more housing
Our local environment should be protected at all costs. Kelbrook already has a vibrant and thriving community. I feel so fortunate to live in a small village with outstanding natural beauty.
Please can the green spaces in the village be better kept along with the bus shelters
ref 29: Used by the community but not built on.
ref 30: We only have one environment, and once we build over it, it's gone for ever.
Since the West Craven Environment group disbanded after years of excellent work in our area, some of the stiles and footpaths have become difficult to access. One in particular is the stile and footpath in Old Stone Trough Lane, about 1/4 mile along the lane from Yellow Hall Cottages. It is on the right hand side of the lane in the field where horses are kept. There are bushes, tall grasses & weeds - very difficult to pass! This path leads diagonally across the next field to the Old Stone Trough Inn
Some farmers appear to discourage the use of certain footpaths and make the styles inaccessible to people and dogs.
Stiles in the fields around the village only cater for very thin, tall walkers. Not accessible to those of larger frames trying to get fitter and/or enjoy the countryside
The hedge opposite the brickworks was worked on last year. Whoever paid was robbed. It is disgusting. The work was not completed and now is a 6ft wide weed bed.
The Parish is one of the main throughfares to the Yorkshire Dales but has a walker and hiker's charm of its own. An information board with local circular walks signposted would be a way to show off our village and Parish
The verges in the village are not well kept, some of the bus stops are filled with weeds. The seat down Church Lane is almost covered in brambles. There needs to be a more regular pruning/tidying of the public spaces. Our village is surrounded by magnificent views, expansive moorlands, meadows, dry stone walls etc. The frame the character of the village and should be saved

<p>There are plenty of areas that can be used for housing which would not impact on the destruction of our green spaces. Time and effort is needed in looking at where building can begin without destroying our countryside</p>
<p>There is a shortage of housing for the population where I don't agree is building on green land. I believe there should be a compromise</p>
<p>There needs to be more affordable, family housing in the village. Offer grants or low interest loans to improve or extend homes</p>
<p>This rural setting & open views across fields was one of the key factors to our moving to Kelbrook over 20 years ago. The environment & green spaces should be maintained. Our green and open spaces must be protected from development</p>
<p>To clarify my opinion on community use of green space is that its fine for fares/events, but not for building/carparks. I would be greatly saddened if additional housing construction like in Foulridge resulted in the area becoming less scenic and environmental.</p>
<p>Use of green spaces depends on what the use is!</p>
<p>Use of green spaces is too vague a question. I would support a summer fayre, fell race etc but not something that completely takes over a green space such as a community shop</p>
<p>We can never have too much or many green spaces to walk in and enjoy. Access seems fine to them and in keeping. I wish we had more wildflowers in the meadows - that is a greater diversity. All green spaces & green open areas should definitely be protected from development & only brown field sites should be used</p>
<p>We feel very strongly against over development especially with regards to traffic along Main St and Waterloo Rd</p>
<p>We have fresh, clean air and waterways. Not enough fish in the streams.</p>
<p>We have sufficient housing already in the area but do not have the infrastructure to cope with any more</p>
<p>We moved to Kelbrook in February and have been very impressed with the well marked footpaths and bridleways. The night sky is fantastic.</p>
<p>We understand the need for additional housing but this can be achieved without destruction of the village or environment if placed correctly. The open fields behind the Craven Heifer for example.</p>
<p>What do you mean by community uses. Not factories or houses.</p>

SECTION C: VIEWS ON THE WEAKNESSES/NEGATIVE FEATURES OF OUR COMMUNITY

* (pedestrian safety...) Some of the parking outside the primary school is extremely dangerous to both pupils, parents and residents, Road users speeds are also becoming dangerous.
*Volume of traffic too high at school times **rubbish thrown out of cars ***bushes hide signs, potholes, street drains need cleaning.
A speed ramp on Dotcliffe Road would be a great addition a people don't stick to speed limit
A weight restriction is required through the village
A56 traffic is too high at certain times. Speed of traffic is acceptable during the day, at night there are problems.
Although I stated that there is too much traffic on the A56 I would not like to see our local countryside ruined by a by-pass. The problem is too many people own cars
Car parking is a serious problem. There are times when an ambulance or fire engine cannot get through the village
Cars parked down one side of the meadow opposite Euravia causes massive obstruction and lack of visibility when pulling out of junction at the bottom of Church Lane. A serious accident waiting to happen
Community in Kelbrook are very good in terms of litter and looking after the village especially with the lengthsman who is great at bringing people together. Traffic measures on the main road would be useful as traffic is far too fast. Traffic through Main St is unexplainably busy for the size of the village
Dog bins should be placed so that they do not make an eyesore! Some of them are downright ugly (esp. next to Kelbrook sign on the entrance roundabout). Signage should be improved so that it is appropriate, well designed and not overly excessive.
Dog owners tend not to pick up the dog waste in fields
Dog waste bins should be on railway bridal path
Excessive speed at commuter times (7am - 9pm) in particular. Traffic lights near Sough Park would slow down traffic and provide safer crossing for children and older people
Far too few dog poo bins in and around the village
I don't live in the village itself, so have no experience on these matters.
I walk regularly between Kelbrook & Salterforth and the amount of rubbish thrown out of cars is abysmal! It would seem that council workers throw signs over the fence down the embankment. There is currently a road narrows sign on the LH side going to Salterforth. I also told a manager from the new housing estate at Salterforth that one of their signs had been thrown into the hedgerow and he went to collect it. I am also sick & tired of seeing dog bags thwon to the wind for the poo fairy to collect. What sort of people do this ??? We do now want to see any ugly wind turbines in or around our village. Those over Great Edge can be seen for miles around and on the top of Bleara Moor
I would like to see more tasteful signage eg for the village hall
If further building takes place then parts of Kelbrook/Sough would suffer further congestion eg Waterloo Road, Vicarage Rd
Litter not big issue as Christine Duckworth arranges regular litter collections with residents
More dog bins are needed and emptied on a regular basis. Eg: on the canal.

My home has parking but side streets and village are congested.
My main concern where I live which I did not have in the past are tractors, some with a lot of machinery attached are driving past Stone Trough and causing damage to my stone path & front of my house. I have to keep getting builders in to cement path back in. This has only happened in last 18 months
Old drains limit new build housing
Our parking is a problem but we have to accept the nature of historic development of the village. Any concerns about lighting would be primarily from security lighting and should be addressed via discussion and/or Council intervention. Any attempts to reduce speed should not be via 'sleeping policemen' ramps which damage vehicles. Speed cameras should be installed. More engagement from new families coming into the village otherwise we risk becoming a commuter conurbation
Parking and traffic problems with volume only seems to be a problem at school times and if there is an event at the church/village hall. Usually lazy folk who don't live here, driving as close to the point that they want (but its no price to pay to live here...events and weddings bring a sense of joy and wellbeing for us!)
Parking at Sough Park. Dangerous when football matches are on. No consideration to residents. Too much traffic, by pass required
Parking during football matches at Sough Park is ridiculous
Parking is a great problem. Parking close to or even overlapping junctions is dangerous, inconsiderate and illegal, blocking sight lines. Parking on footways is also a problem for wheelchair users and other disabled pedestrians
Parking on the A56, outside /opposite Euravia causes difficulty getting out of Church Lane.
Please introduce a Residents only Parking Scheme! To protect local residents
point 32 Wording is confusing - to me pedestrian safety is important.
ref: 36: The speed and volume of traffic on the A56 is sometimes too high. A bypass would address this and other issues of connectivity which would increase employment opportunities for local people.
Residents with more than one vehicle should acquire property which allows for parking. Village has become a car park! Agricultural vehicles (large tractors etc) drive too fast through the village as well as boy racers.
Signage should be Council approved and not colour other than that approved by local authority
Speeding through the village is a huge problem and I would definitely welcome a speed camera maybe outside Euravia and near Sough Park
Speeding throughout the village. Car parking an issue. No sign for shooting lodge, frequently asked for directions. Main St should be considered for one way system.
Speeding traffic to and from nursery is a problem and is usually from non village residents. School traffic is also a problem with parents inconsiderate parking and blocking road making it inaccessible on occasions
Still seeing too much dog mess on Main Street. When people use the village hall the parking immediately outside it can become a problem.

<p>Street lighting is dim in some areas, don't always feel safe walking around at night. My child walks to school down Waterloo Rd. I don't feel this is safe due to the amount of parked cars, narrow pavements and poor visibility at the top of the road before the turn into Quernmore Drive due to a tall hedge on the bend. (made worse by the fact that there is no pavement right at the bend!)</p>
<p>The entrances and exits to our village could be made so much more attractive. There are some small attempts being made but so much more could be done eg Kelbrook & Sough in Bloom, verges with clear signage, tasteful & creative artwork that reflects the character of our villages</p>
<p>The parents dropping children off at school often break the 20mph speed limit, as do people visiting the Shooting Lodge on Cob Lane Kelbrook. Also there is a high volume of traffic, particularly people coming from Earby direction (Wardell Storeys?) speeding at 6.00am to 7.00am daily. Perhaps some villagers could be trained to use hand held speed devices to deter speeders - get the support of Lancashire Safety Partnership</p>
<p>The speed from Acconlea to the Old Stone Trough is far too excessive. 60 - going on 100. Realistically this makes access on to the road safely extremely difficult for all properties. Cyclists also use the pavement as a cycle track. This has resulted in me being run into twice as I stepped out of my front door grazing my face, chipping my tooth and the cyclist fell into the road which could have been fatal. LCC don't want to know, the police have other priorities. The litter on the A56 is a disgrace. Most of it comes out of the recycling vehicle. The sweeper does more dumping of rubbish than it picks up. Tourists to the Old Stone Trough walking to Foulridge must be appalled</p>
<p>The traffic which passes through the village is high and those who use this route tend to drive at ridiculous speeds with no regard to the safety of anyone who is walking or trying to cross the road. Therefore no more housing is needed as this will only add to the problem. Speed restriction signs are needed too</p>
<p>There are plenty of dog waste bins</p>
<p>There are plenty of dog waste bins but people ignore them. Dogs are constantly urinating on our front garden, owners stand and watch them.</p>
<p>There are sufficient bins for dog waste but too many people who do not wish to use them. A huge concern is the parking on the A56 opposite Euravia. It completely blocks the view of the road when leaving Church Lane. There will be an accident.</p>
<p>Through Sough traffic is too fast and should be slowed down</p>
<p>Traffic both up and down Waterloo Rd is problematic, there is no proper signage for drivers. Some cars travel too fast through the village travelling to the nursery</p>
<p>Traffic calming required on Waterloo Rd outside the school</p>
<p>Traffic is very high and fast through the parish as it is a major highway for Pendle into Craven. The A56 is necessary and important but Kelbrook and Sough could take advantage of these Farers?? With more local business to look at. However traffic on the A56 (and going to Salterforth) should be controlled or slowed further.</p>
<p>Traffic volume can be high when events are being held in the village hall or school and at certain times of the day eg: school start/finish time made worse by limited available parking.</p>
<p>Volume and space for cars is probably at its limit. It works on Waterloo Road because in the main people are considerate and patient. It feels like saturation point has been reached for safety and goodwill. Any development cannot add to traffic levels in Waterloo Road.</p>
<p>Volume and speed of traffic of traffic is only at certain times - non residents going to school or shooting club In certain areas there are excess road signs</p>



<p>Volume of traffic is ok for now but future development would create problems</p>
<p>Volume of traffic on A56 may be high but is there a solution. An opportunity was missed when the lights crossing was installed. It could have changed to red automatically with speeds over 30mph.</p>
<p>When do the signs warning of gritting routes disappear?</p>
<p>Whilst very hard to run/make viable I would love to see a community shop like the one in Trawden</p>
<p>Why oh why oh why do dog owners leave bags of dog poo in the countryside and hanging on fences & trees? Some houses or farms have hugely bright lights on at night which is unfortunate</p>
<p>With regard to the speed of traffic, there are issues with cars being driven extremely fast in the evening/night when there are no police cameras around. A speed camera would be helpful with this between Sough and Earby.</p>

SECTION D: VIEWS ON SERVICES AND AMENITIES

* In my opinion, people only park really close to the school during start and finish times; during this time there is plenty of room near Quernmore and Cob lane.
A mobile bank would be good too. Many local banks have closed such as the Yorkshire Bank, Barclays and Skipton Bldg Soc. Thanks!
A playing field in Kelbrook would be good.
Additional car parking near school - not required. Parents just need to allow more time and park further away
Any household with more than one car struggles to park near their home - this is an issue which causes lots of neighbourhood disputes - some have been very nasty indeed and I have witnessed neighbours getting to blows because of this
Bowlers and footballer cause a problem at Sough for resident parking
Bus services to Skipton do not run late enough in the evening to cater for needs of workers (hospitality) or revellers
Car drivers are not considerate with parking especially at school leaving times
Car park for school - car park outside church should be used & walking bus is operated regularly. Need traffic patrol wardens as people regularly parked on yellow zigzags outside school. No sports facilities. Kelbrook previously had football team & pitch
Car parking in Main Street is a problem & parking on footpaths near the main road
Car parking problem on bowling & football days at Sough Park can be dangerous. Parking on footpaths and extending out of layby restricting traffic on A56 near traffic islands. Needs to be seriously looked at
Challenge of creating sufficient parking spaces without affecting open spaces. Could school promote a 'park up and walk' scheme so that traffic congestion is less of a problem. Could the Craven Heifer offer its car park for that? Residents car parking needs to be understood but also more consideration to parking - particularly at junctions or where gaps for lorries or farm vehicles may be a problem. what happened to the old football field near Craven Heifer? Traffic calming and existing play areas with assurances that the village character is maintained, should mean kids can play out
Could make better use of Sough & Kelbrook parks. Play areas in each village so don't see the need for any ore as this is more maintenance and cost. Toilets opened if they are kept on top of and not used for teenagers to hangout
For a small parish, there are adequate amenities for young people ie Kelbrook park/playground, Sough park, skate park, football pitch and tennis courts. Nearby sports amenities at Barnoldswick and Colne provide extra that any small village couldn't hope to provide.
For the life and development of the community additional facilities would be welcomed. What about a street party or a festival?
Free public toilets are very important if you suffer from medical conditions.
Households have too many vehicles! Eg in Japan, if you can't park your car at your house, you can't have one. Also more consideration should be given to the elderly to have accommodation to stay in the village
I have observed many instances when people have required to use the toilets on Sough Park (children, older people and motorists). Not everyone has a 'Radar key' and sometimes this causes embarrassment

I park next to my house but if there is an event it is crazy!
I would welcome residents only parking outside 257/259/261 etc Colne Road, Sough
I'm not sure how you address the car parking problem on the nature of the housing means that there is insufficient garages. Allowing parking in the car park opposite the church would help some residents
It would be an advantage to have Scouts, Brownies etc for younger children.
It would be impossible to improve parking near the school. This is just a fact of life and down to the responsibility of parents who are not doing the right thing. The park should be made safe. A bar welded on the walkway of the climbing frame so children did not fall out. I have seen 3 children fall from there. I no longer take my children there as it isn't safe. It is such a shame as it would be nice to go to the village park.
No parking for bowlers and footballers
Not enough car parking on Dotcliffe Rd so cars parked on pavement. Does not help when people with off street parking do not or will not use it!
Parents of school pupils need to walk to school not in big 4x4s
Parked cars sometimes make access to houses very difficult.
Parking around school is a problem but not sure how this could be improved with limited space available to provided additional parking areas.
Parking is an issue, especially when people ignore the Highway Code and park on junctions or obscure street signs.
Parking on Waterloo road is a significant issue, especially during school term time and also a major problem in the winter, which often prevents highways carrying out essential gritting
People with room for two cars should not park one on the road because they are too lazy to move one to get the other car out
Public transport is awful. Never on time. Sough is almost cut off. Something must be done about the poor service, it's just too too bad. Could do with car park space for visitor's cars in Sough Park as they make it impossible for us to park outside our own house
Ref 44: I like to park outside my house so would not like to see residents in our village face parking restrictions which stops them parking outside or near house. Any restrictions should apply only to parents/visitors to school.
ref 44: Residents are able to park. Parents dropping off/picking up need to be more considerate.
ref 51: Unfortunately the Sough Park toilets have been vandalised numerous times and there is nothing to prevent this costly abuse by a small number of people if they are re-opened.
Sough public toilets should be reopened as a matter of urgency. Sough Park is beautiful and lots of families frequent the park. Not having amenities like this is ridiculous! It's a sad sign of the time when the Council can't afford to keep the toilets open

<p>The 20mph speed limit up Waterloo Road is not adhered to. The big cars that go up and down to Meggisons Shooting Lodge are a menace. They expect you to ride up onto the pavement and do not give way. There are too many cars parked in the village, sometimes they park on the pavements! We have a garage but others do not. The on-street parking Waterloo Rd/Main St is highly congested!!</p>
<p>The 4 houses to the side of me and directly facing (2 across & 1 either side) have 10 cars between them! Car parking is a big issue but the village itself dates from a time when there were no cars. Any new housing must have own car parking.</p>
<p>The park in Kelbrook needs to be updated. At present could be considered dangerous in parts. Would be nice if there was more for children to do - a good park would be great.</p>
<p>The play area needs improving to allow for children age 5-9 to be able to use it</p>
<p>The play areas are sufficient in number, however they need better maintenance. Also, the equipment in Sough park is for very young children or teenagers - the 'slide' (not the new slide) and climbing poles are dangerous. Equipment should be suited to the age group the park is aimed at (ie up to the age limit on the signs).</p>
<p>The playarea park (Kelbrook) is poor and needs revamping making it more usable for a greater age range 1-10 years. Location is poor so something within the village would be better</p>
<p>The school needs to work with parents to walk their children to school. Lots of schools now have a car exclusion zone around them to encourage this regarding the huge rise in obesity and also the effects of air pollution from cars. It would be marvelous to have a family cycle way along the old railway line from Colne to Skipton. It would bring tourism and many benefits and save lives lost on the road - of cyclists. It would be good to have a play area not next to a very busy road junction due to noise & air pollution. It would be great for the village to have a beer & music festival like to Carleton School fundraising etc</p>
<p>The toilets should be reopened at Sough Park. We live next to the park now and I have seen at least 2 men urinating against our fence!!</p>
<p>The village hall could be used as a youth centre once a week with volunteer support?? We don't need additional play areas, just the current ones to be well looked after.</p>
<p>The village hall is a great asset! Must remain and be cared for</p>
<p>The Yorkshire Dales is a walkers and climbers popular destination. One of the country (and world's) most successful businesses in the manufacture of Artificial Climbing holds has its base in Kelbrook but there is no climbing wall of its own. As a climber I understand the benefit of climbing as an exercise and on mental health. I would pose a huge asset to the village for young people and climbers alike and on rainy days would bring climbers from all over Yorkshire Dales and Pendle to Kelbrook. Entreprises should have a wall of their own in Kelbrook</p>
<p>Timing between buses could be better. Ideally a carpark for school. School times can be bad if you are returning from shopping.</p>
<p>Village Hall - library or book shop?</p>
<p>Village shop and post office would be great</p>
<p>Walking bus operates 3 times per week to decrease number of cars parking on Waterloo Road. A layby has been requested for a 'kiss and drop' area but refused by highways. Would be willing to consider using some of car park and land near roundabout to create a turning circle for dropping children at school.</p>
<p>We are fortunate to have a drive but generally parking is a big problem in Kelbrook</p>
<p>We have sufficient parking on Quernmore Drive but other parts of the village would benefit from more available parking places</p>
<p>We need a good village shop that sells good quality produce. (maybe in chip shop restaurant)</p>

We need these toilets as a lot of elderly walk in Sough Park but vandalism is always a problem.
Expensive to repair

Why car parking near the school. It would only be used 30 minutes per day by lazy parents and people from outside the village bring kids to school. Any parking should be central to avoid the bad parking happening. The toilets should be shared with Earby council as half the park (as they state) is in Earby

With regards to school car parking, there is no reason why people could not park at village car park and walk. School operates a walking bus also. Village hall already has a good choic of activities for all age groups. Kids should all be encouraged to get out to the fields to play & explore & be xxx to do that

SECTION E: VIEWS ON COMMUNICATIONS AND BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES

<p>As a consultant I have the opportunity to work from home but I regularly travel north and south (Glasgow and London). Train links from Skipton and Leeds for business travel are fantastic but public transport through the parish is very poor. The X43 service is a lifeline for local commuters but other routes and more regular services must be employed to make the parish accessible.</p>
<p>As my broadband/mobile phone signal isn't a problem, I don't see it as a problem</p>
<p>Attracting small businesses to the parish brings employment and income into the area. Building extra business units would increase traffic and there are opportunities to open businesses without doing this, eg: Dog Days field. There are already enough business units to support the parish.</p>
<p>Both retired.</p>
<p>Enough businesses in the Parish. No more required</p>
<p>For such a small rural area there are numerous businesses operating in & around Kelbrook. Nursery, pubs, restaurants, Euravia etc. Kelbrook & Sough is predominately a residential community</p>
<p>High ground surrounding village affecting mast</p>
<p>I am retired but if working it would be good to have an improved mobile phone reception. The village hall could be used for networking and development??</p>
<p>I feel my answers are biased because I am retired. I have answered these questions selfishly</p>
<p>I sometimes work from home</p>
<p>I strongly disagree with any form of building on green land.</p>
<p>I think the industrial units at Euravia, Wolfendens etc spoil the aesthetics of the village and should have been screened with trees/painted green. Any further units should be better thought out. Any mobile phone mast should be well away from housing and have the least visual impact</p>
<p>I think there is a need for the development of local businesses. If Business units must be constructed make them more attractive, less industrial looking. Broadband connection is horrendous where I am. My speeds are unacceptably low. I'd be concerned about health risks with a phone mast.</p>
<p>I welcome the opportunity for small businesses and increase employment within our area. This can be done by using existing buildings within Earby/Sough & Kelbrook, not build unsightly new ones ie vacant shops in Earby</p>
<p>I would welcome a mobile phone mast in village as long as it was well clear of houses</p>
<p>I would welcome small businesses and Kelbrook is crying out for one or two shops selling a varied selection of food as many elderly do not drive and are not very mobile</p>
<p>If we want more employment opportunities cities we need more buildings and more car parks.</p>
<p>Improved rural broadband speeds for farms</p>
<p>Kelbrook has already lost 7 shops and Sough 2. Small businesses would not have to be reliant on local custom.</p>

May work from home in the future.
Mobile phone mast - no way - health risks!
Mobile phone mast welcomed as long as placed in an acceptable area of the village
Mobile phone masts should be 'simulated tree' variety.
Mobile reception is good enough - rather have current situation than mast anywhere near the village. Euravia fumes terrible - just awaiting class action to be taken against them!!!
New business yes but no noise pollution
one person uses public transport
One small business (if you can call it one) is the Clay Pigeon Shooting Club on Kelbrook Moor. This is a constant irritant due to the noise 6 days a week. This has blighted our village since 1981 and can be heard as far away as Elslack
Only small business units - no factories
Our area has good phone And broadband networks.
Reception depends on location
ref 63: mostly; ref 64: often; ref 65: weekly.
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired
Retired so last 4 answers don't really apply
Retired. Small business units need to be built in existing industrial estates
Retired. Used to work within 5 miles. Public transport was inadequate. Used bike or car
Small business units - depends where they are. Our broadband/phone is ok
Small businesses could help to develop tourism.
The fumes from Euravia are not acceptable
The work questions I'm unsure how to answer as I'm currently studying in post graduate education from home full time.
There is sufficient space at Sough Mill for more small businesses but any developments need to safeguard the rural nature of Kelbrook & Sough. Could also use Wolfenden site and rest of Dotcliffe Mill site - development brownfield sites for businesses or homes. Need to look at improvements for facilities for home working. Tourism and service industries are opportunities which should be supported as they minimise the impact on rural setting and environment. Could use the Village Hall which has broadband connectivity for a dedicated space
There seems to be constant pressure to build, keep building & altering villages into becoming something that is lost. Save our village, encourage business units to be built on industrial sites, towns and brownfield sites



To build business units would be as unwelcome as houses. This is a village not a small town and there are business parks in Sough and employment locally in Earby and Barnoldswick

Use public transport infrequently. Ref 59: If you vote for this you should be prepared to have it near your house. I don't want to look at one and wouldn't subject anyone else to. Phone signal is adequate.

Work at the school

Worldwide satellites will replace phone masts in the not too distant future

SECTION F: VIEWS ON TRANSPORT AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

* People just need to stick to the actual speed limit. * I would not need to use (a train) often.
*if affordable
20mph signs in place but people take no notice.Speed bumps would not slow them down but would create a nuisance for residents.Had issues in the past with people driving onto the pavement, now resolved with bollards outside my house.
A new bus service to Skipton has started running but goes from Earby, not Sough or Kelbrook.
Bad roads slow cars down (potholes). Fill them in. No traffic calming.
Bus services are adequate during the day, but evening services could be improved (and Sundays).
Buses are too expensive - £10 for return to Skipton! In London it's £1.50 per bus ride!
Cars should not be parked right at junctions which should be clear
Conflicted on train service due to promiximity of my house to the rail line. I feel rail travel, especially to Yorkshire will be too expensive for people to use. I would support an 'out of town' park & ride with a re-routed rail line which is being considered
Cycle path on railway line needed
Cycling is an issue as cars often exceed the 20mph limit in Kelbrook
Fell safe riding my horse around the village
How about opening again the railways in Sough? I mean it will really be useful for those who just want to use transport, than using our own car. Thanks!
I am elderly and to use the train where would I park my car when travelling (near station). Would use the Witchway bus if it came through Sough.
I do think car parking permits would be a disaster in such a confined parking area in Kelbrook
I propose a one way system should be introduced; entry into Kelbrook via Main Street from the roundabout and then through Kelbrook and out from Church Lane exit. A no entry sign could be put before the first bungalow to allow traffic access to the cement works and the units at the end of Church Lane.
I still drive a car
I think a one way system should be considered for the village.
I think buses would be used more if they were free or very cheap. With the climate emergency we must get cars off the road as much as possible & free bus travel would entice people to use them. It would be good to have traffic slowing along Waterloo Rd. Speed bumps I believe can be annoying if they're outside people's homes due to noise & bumping& revving & fumes. I don't feel at all safe cycling in the Parish and cycle on the footpaths rather than being mown down on the roads!
I think the train service reopening would be of a great benefit to the local community and a fantastic asset for all
I welcome the extension of the X43 service back to this area, and hope it continues to service West Craven. I <u>do not</u> support the reintroduction of the Colne - Skipton railway, and also doubt it would provide a passenger service.
I would ban the use of cycles on all trunk roads and major 'A' roads!
I would support the use of parking permits where it would be beneficial to residents in difficult areas.
I would use the buses if I could rely on them to keep to their timetable, the service we get is not fit for purpose!!!! I don't think we should have to pay for permits to park outside one's own house we pay enough on road tax as it is already

<p>If a train service were available, we would use this for leisure purposes, not commuting to work. This is because of the nature of our work and also the number of changes required.</p>
<p>It costs a fortune to get the bus to Skipton. Last time I used it, it was £9 for a single adult ticket!</p>
<p>It is a disgrace that the people of Sough have lost their direct bus to Skipton. Surely something can be done with a bit or re routing.</p>
<p>It is already 20 mph through the village and 30mph on main A56 through to Earby. I would support parking permits but not pay to park outside my own house.</p>
<p>It would be fair to allow people of Kelbrook & Sough to buy a K-day ticket on the buses. At the moment you can only buy K days from the West Craven stop outside the baths or from Colne. Becomes very expensive one you top up all the different ticket prices. High fares do not encourage people to use the buses!</p>
<p>It's a big "What if" but a train service would make a huge difference to everyone's transport patterns...</p>
<p>Not sure if a train service would be viable but would be an ideal way of promoting improved links to main areas of employment, particularly for the young or those without private transport</p>
<p>One of us would like a train service.</p>
<p>Parking permits for street parking in Kelbrook would prove difficult to police I would think due to minimal other provision of parking.</p>
<p>Parking permits would need great thought and consultation with householders. Only people really affected are those on Waterloo Rd/Main St</p>
<p>Parking should encourage not discourage visitors to the village. The pub has ample parking and nobody uses the parkas its not safe so this is not an issue. The school area may benefit from permit parking but parents sit in cars waiting so would continue. We want people to come to events at the Church and village hall so permits would provide no parking for them and would lower the resources of those businesses who need it to survive.</p>
<p>Public transport is unreliable and expensive</p>
<p>Public transport too expensive</p>
<p>ref 71: but only if those residents affected wanted it.</p>
<p>ref 74: Use of a train service would depend on its destinations and location of a station.</p>
<p>Resident permit parking ends up us having to pay for it</p>
<p>See comment in previous section. Better and more regular bus service (like the X43) through the parish to Skipton and the major train links. A service like the X43 through the parish to Skipton, Clitheroe and Colne/Burnley that does not rely on traffic congestion from Manchester (as the x43 does). A train line would be ideal.</p>
<p>The first 3 answers are actually NOT APPLICABLE. I live off the Skipton Old Road which has no public transport available and which is a most dangerous road for cycling.</p>
<p>There is not enough street parking to be able to have permit parking. Cars block footpaths dropped kerbs. Someone is going to get injured with all the illegal parking especially a disabled person. I have asked the Council to requesting parking wardens to come. You are not interested in safety. You were not interested. Too late when someone is injured.</p>
<p>Traffic calming by a speed camera and definitely not speed bumps because of the volume of traffic especially large vehicles</p>



Where would the train service be? On current plans there is no stop at Kelbrook.

With regards to cycling. Safety for children is quite difficult due to parking and lack of clear paths and clear visibility. Speed limits are fine if observed. Parking permits are unnecessary due to good community spirit here. People are good at working together to solve issues

Worst thing they ever did was closing the railway between Colne and Skipton and beyond. Euravia should be made to provide car parking for their staff. Many use A56 or Church Lane now.

SECTION G: VIEWS ON TOURISM AND LEISURE

** "Welcome to Kelbrook - a real village" or something like that with a coat of arms or a Kelbrook flag.
Again if the toilets are reopened it should be mutual with Earby Council as half the park is in Earby
All of the above are simple, effective and low cost opportunities to boost the parish as a tourist destination that will serve to benefit local businesses in the parish and Earby
Benches already in poor condition so why more if we cannot maintain the ones we have!
Could have one, or a number of designated parish walks with points of interest - history and geography.
I disagree with airBnB as it can affect those living nearby. Destroys the community just for extra money
Let's make Kelbrook more beautiful before we promote tourism seriously!
Only problem with tourism is increased traffic. Even walkers bring cars into village & then go for days walk. Highly annoying if parked outside one's house
Our views are spectacular, as are our open spaces & meadows between villages. Housing & industry must not be allowed to spoil them. Tourists & tourism is great as long as it does not spoil the peace & tranquility, so no to any camping or caravans, there is sufficient in Salterforth & Barnoldswick
Public footpaths are footpaths not cycleways
ref 83/84: small tasteful ones.
There are lots of beautiful walkways around the village which should be promoted
Tourist info points for cycle paths and for walkers to inform public landmarks also history notices to show visitors that Kelbrook history has been in the past
Walkers enjoy our spectacular views & appreciate the open spaces, its peace & tranquility. We have a strong sense of heritage and need to retain it.
We have excellent existing & developing accommodation for tourists. We already have signs for the parish. Village Hall is ideal location for tourist information
We used to have toilets in the village by the old Co-op. The toilets in Earby at the station hotel and at the bus station have been closed due to repeated vandalism. A toilet at Sough would be most beneficial. Why not place cameras in the vicinity of the public toilets and catch the culprits followed by hefty fines for those idiots involved. I pity the poor bus drivers who cannot find a toilet! Lots of older people dare not venture far from home these days
what about a tourism focused App or Website in the future. This could also have content on the history of the Parish.

SECTION H: VIEWS ON HOUSING

<p>(ref 97: slightly worried that this statement is "not where I live" syndrome. Do not let Kelbrook become like Foulridge where every square inch of land has been and continues to be developed, despite lots of houses being already for sale. We do not want to be a suburb of Colne, or Earby. Building towards the Stone Trough on the petrol station side (effectively extending Quernmore) could enable an access to the top of the village, alleviating having to drive up Main Street and Waterloo which would vastly help traffic flow in the village.</p>
<p>Again! This subject (need for new homes) requires further discussion especially amongst the people living in Kelbrook.</p>
<p>All these questions are biased towards building houses. If houses are not built then these problems would not exist. There are houses for sale and empty within the village. Again the elderly accommodation should be more available</p>
<p>Any housing development would not be good for the village. Any development would be detrimental. Its not about extending the village but preserving it. Villages are special, what makes them special is they are small & not made to have extended roads and numerous housing estates. That turns a village into a town. Villages are quiet, surrounded by fields and the countryside, steeped in its own local history</p>
<p>Any new housing projects in Kelbrook would spoil this village, just like it has done in Salterforth. Not to mention the extra car clutter that would result.</p>
<p>Availability of health facilities: doctor, dentist, social care - need to be considered for any building expansion scenarios.</p>
<p>Build houses that are like Mill Beck a lovely terrace. Not detached 5 bedrooms that are unaffordable. Even the so called affordable houses are unaffordable for the working class family</p>
<p>Building more houses in small villages means you are bringing more people in & then facilities become less for the locals ie schools, doctors, dentists, hospitals etc etc</p>
<p>Bungalows needed</p>
<p>Can housing needs be met by existing listings for sale or rent?</p>
<p>Do not consider there is a need for new homes in the Parish but if necessary, priority should be given to development of brownfield sites. We should protect our rural environment and open views. Traffic & parking are major problems and should not be ignored in teh development of any further housing - Main Street & Waterloo Rd are already overloaded. If developments are undertaken they should be on fringes while maintaining the Parish as a separate place to adjoining parishes</p>
<p>Family homes/new housing is not required in Kelbrook or Sough. As mentioned Housing is sufficient in the community however selling council houses doesn't help to home lower income families. Grants or loans to improve existing buildings makes more commercial & environmental sense.</p>
<p>Housing always depends on where it is? What it is? And how many? Plus impact on current village and residents.</p>
<p>How could you restrict housing to people with a local connection? Not sure this would be practical. Not sure what is meant by key workers?</p>
<p>I cannot answer questions 1 & 2 because being new to the village I don't know what the housing situation is</p>
<p>I do not think any more houses should be built in Kelbrook. There are traffic problems on Waterloo Rd now. Houses going to be built on given sites Disagree with this!</p>
<p>I feel that I'm not qualified on the matter of housing need as I live a little away.</p>

I feel there is sufficient housing stock. I am aware that there are a number of rental properties in Kelbrook (14 that I know of). No knowledge of rental properties in Sough. New houses in my opinion should blend in with existing stock. Perhaps a model of requirements as in Lake District
I think enough new homes have been built. The mill in Salterforth needs selling which would be cheaper housing. I am not in favour of any more caravan sites as these in time always look shabby.
If villages expand too much they lose their village feel and appeal. House prices will always be more than those in a town but there is a range of property for sale in the area covering most budgets. It's a nice idea to build affordable housing to keep young people in the area but we then lose our green spaces and traffic is increased. If the village stays undeveloped there is no need to expand the school and parking gets no worse than it is now.
It would be good if some space could be made in the village for allotments
Kelbrook and Sough DO NOT NEED any more HOUSES
Kelbrook is a village and should remain so. New housing would increase traffic, noise etc and there are not enough school places/GP's etc to support new housing. Also traffic on Main St is too much as it is. Cars/tractors drive too fast - new housing would only increase this. The gorgeous views should be protected. I moved to Kelbrook because I wanted to live in a village and have a village lifestyle.
Lots of work needed on this aspect of Kelbrook's future. It's a sensitive and very important subject.
Materials should be appropriate to function of dwellings ie eco housing with non-venacular zinc roofing and oak cladding etc. Modern design improves the aesthetic of the village. If traffic calming and better off street parking available, this shouldn't be an issue
More houses may bring problems.
New houses should be built on brown field sites & should be affordable. Waterloo Road gets extremely congested at school times especially & also with farm vehicles, deliveries etc.. It is not a wide road
New housing development has just been opened in Salterforth. There is no need to further develop in the village of Kelbrook. Save our village and protect our community.
New housing should take flooding and traffic into account. It is the worry of most residents. There is plenty of land between Kelbrook and Salterforth or Kelbrook and Foulridge which would not impact on these areas is this way.
New housing within the village is NOT required. It would bring more traffic, parking issues, noise, pollution, reduce our village status, reduce our green fields and rural environments and reduce boundaries between other villages around us. This is a small rural village NOT a growing small town looking for industry and development
No large estates to be built. All housing for local families
NO MORE HOUSES NEEDED, VILLAGE ALREADY TOO BUSY.
No more houses please! This is a small quaint village - lets keep it this way
No new houses in Kelbrook & Sough! Enough houses which are affordable constantly on sale
Not sure how 97 could be achieved.
Our drains cannot support the water or sewage. There is always flooding. Our views are spectacular. Housing and industry must not be allowed to spoil our views or open spaces. Kelbrook needs to be preserved for future generations of villagers.
PARKING!
Preferably no new housing

ref 86: The sad fact is that the UK population continues to increase, so does the need for more and more housing. At some stage before we build over our entire natural environment we need to recognise the elephant in the room that no-one dares mention - we need to start encouraging and incentivising families to have fewer children.
The field at the back of Euravia was wanted for development. It was stopped due to the possibility of flooding. This must be remembered when they try again
The local plan should be adhered to ie Salterforth side of the A56
There are enough houses in the village, all you need to do is look at how many are for sale
There are few jobs in the Parish. For young people to want to stay there must be jobs and affordable housing.
There is a need for affordable housing (both small single/double occupancy and family) in the North West. This is unavoidable and the parish should embrace the opportunity to mould this development for local residents or new residents and not allow developers to just line their pockets. Rather than fighting development we should take a leading seat and work with councils and developers to make sure that when it comes, the development will benefit all
There is a need for new housing but in the right place where it doesn't spoil the countryside
There is no need to build or expand in Kelbrook, so most of these questions are a waste of time.
To keep our young people in or near the village we must build economically and break with tradition architecturally to do so.
To re-iterate, we do not need or require any additional housing in Kelbrook. The village amenities cannot support it
Unsure of question about gardens. Is it to ensure that houses are not crammed into a small space with minimum garden?
We do not need any more houses building in Kelbrook. The drains cannot cope as it is and already there is too much traffic through the village. The facilities we have cannot cope with more people using them as it is eg the school. My answers reflect my opinions on housing for outside of Kelbrook. No extra houses should be built within Kelbrook
We do not wish to have any more housing in the village. I feel that we would be overlooked anyway by the powers that be. Our views are just squashed in this so-called democracy
What about the rest of the village. If Dotcliffe Rd where new houses have been built were not in keeping with the surrounding area, not built of stone and insufficient parking and has turned Dotcliffe Road into a racetrack even though it is clearly marked 20mph.
What is appropriately sized?
Who are key workers?
Why come to reside in a rural village setting & develop it into a town?? New housing estates? Enlarged school provision? Car parks? Tourism? What next? Multistorey parking & full retail park? Ridiculous.... Who is the author of this document? & what is their agenda?

2.3 BUSINESS SURVEY

Business Survey for the Neighbourhood Plan

Name of Business

1. What is the business name and your name
2. What is your role in the company

Type of Business

3. What type of business do you run? eg engineering, manufacturing, marketing
4. Are you an independent company or part of a larger organisation?
5. Do you supply products or services to residents or companies in the Kelbrook and Sough area?
6. Why did you choose to locate in Kelbrook and Sough
7. How long has the company been here?
8. Where do you expect the company to be in 5 years time?

Employee information

1. How many people do you employ at this site?
2. Do you recruit local people to work here?
3. How do your staff travel to work?
4. Is there sufficient parking?
5. Do you have staff who work from home?

Information about premises and facilities

1. What are your views on your current business premises?
2. How would you rate the infrastructure locally eg energy requirements, broadband, waste disposal?
3. What is good about the area?
4. What is bad about the area?

5. What are the neighbourhood qualities as a place to work in?
6. Are there any local issues that impact your business?
7. What needs to improve to improve your business?

Other comments

1. Do you have any other comments about residential housing planning?

2.4 BUSINESS SURVEY OUTPUT

The Steering Group had interviews with 27 companies in Kelbrook and Sough and provided feedback to the group. This has been included in the assessment of the Parish and the development of the Policies. Despite several attempts, we were unable to meet with Euravia who are the largest company in the Parish. With the exception of Euravia, all the other businesses locally are small businesses with only a few employees. Very few of the employees live in Kelbrook and Sough.

Since Kelbrook and Sough is a rural Parish, there was information obtained about farming as an industry. Within the Parish, we have calculated that the percentage of land being used for agricultural purposes = 71.93%.

The 2011 census says only 10 people were involved in agriculture, forestry or fishing in the parish. Conversations and enquiries showed that in living memory the farming industry within the parish has changed. Many small farms no longer exist. Farm houses remain but the land has either been sold or rented out. Discussions and observations have revealed that approximately 37 people work in agriculture, 16 of whom are resident in the Parish.